Message

From: Kraft, Andrew [Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov]

Sent: 7/3/2013 12:52:12 PM

To: Glenn, Barbara [Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov]

Subject: FW: Formaldehyde assessment review - scope of SWG review & reviewers

Attachments: FormaldehydeAppendixdraft070113forREVIEW.docx

So, what does this mean...

From: Fox, John

Sent: Wednesday, July 03, 2013 8:47 AM

To: Glenn, Barbara; Kraft, Andrew; Cogliano, Vincent

Subject: FYI: Formaldehyde assessment review - scope of SWG review & reviewers

From: Fox, John

Sent: Wednesday, July 03, 2013 8:40 AM

To: Blessinger, Todd; Marcus, Allan; Jinot, Jennifer

Subject: FW: Formaldehyde assessment for review by the IRIS Disciplinary Workgroups - scope of SWG review

See below for scope of review by SWG

Also - Appendix is attached

Todd & Allan, fyi – Jennifer Jinot will review the dose-response for repro at request of Dev/Repro wkgrp

From: Glenn, Barbara

Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2013 4:11 PM

To: Cogliano, Vincent; Fox, John; Kraft, Andrew

Subject: RE: Formaldehyde assessment for review by the IRIS Disciplinary Workgroups

John is saying that the SWG group will only review the noncancer analyses. We were expecting that the SWG group would review the entire dose-response section including the noncancer and cancer toxicity values (based on human and animal study results). This is indicated in the spreadsheet that Vince sent around yesterday. Are adjustments needed?

The current expectation is that these reviews will be completed by July 25th.

Regards, Barbara

From: Cogliano, Vincent

Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2013 3:43 PM **To:** Fox, John; Glenn, Barbara; Kraft, Andrew

Subject: RE: Formaldehyde assessment for review by the IRIS Disciplinary Workgroups

Thanks, John. Dave Svendsgaard is fine with me - Vince

From: Fox, John

Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2013 9:24 AM **To:** Glenn, Barbara; Kraft, Andrew

Cc: Cogliano, Vincent

Subject: RE: Formaldehyde assessment for review by the IRIS Disciplinary Workgroups

Before Charlie left for vacation he asked me to have SWG review two aspects of Formaldehyde assessment:

1) dose-response modeling/RfC section: I have a reviewer for this

2) any epi modeling, which he thought was non-cancer only: does this agree with your expectations?

We do not have any potential reviewers of epi <u>modeling</u> in NCEA-W because Jennifer and Tom were involved in hcho and Leonid and Krista are tied up with Libby asbestos. Thus the possible reviewers I'm aware of are Paul White (IO), David Svendsgaard (NCEA-RTP), and Michael Wright (NCEA-CINC). So far, I have only contacted David Svendsgaard, and he is available.

I will await your reply and if there is no reply by Wednesday I'll contact David Svendsgaard.

Barbara and Vince did not identify any deadline in their emails -?

Charlie also mentioned the BBDR work - Alan Sasso tells me that he has been asked to review that so I'll assume it is covered.

Before Ravi left, we discussed alternate reviewers including me and Weihsueh.